# Planet Gaps in the Dust Layer of 3D Protoplanetary Disks: Observability with ALMA

#### Jean-François Gonzalez Centre de Recherche Astrophysique de Lyon, France



Sarah Maddison Swinburne University of Technology, Melbourne, Australia Christophe Pinte, François Ménard Institut de Planétologie et d'Astrophysique de Grenoble, France Laure Fouchet Universität Bern, Switzerland







## Motivation

Protoplanetary disks : science driver for ALMA

First investigations 0 Wolf et al. (2002), Wolf & D'Angelo (2005) Hydrodynamical simulations of 2D gas-only disks • Synthetic images: 3D structure (gaussian in z), uniform dust • ALMA at its limits (shortest  $\lambda$ , longest baselines) Constant 30° phase noise Subsequent improvements Simulations of 3D gas+dust disks, self-consistant dynamics Barrière-Fouchet et al. (2005), Fouchet et al. (2007) Synthetic images based on resulting 3D dust distribution Pinte et al. (2007) More realistic phase noise (GILDAS, CASA)

## Hydrodynamical Simulations

#### • SPH 3D two-phase (gas+dust) code

- CTTS disk
  - $M_{rac} = I M_{\odot}$
  - $M_{\text{disk}} = 0.02 \ M_{\odot}$
- Initial dust/gas ratio
  10<sup>-2</sup>
- Grain sizes
  - 100 µm, 1 mm, 1 cm
- Planet
  - $M_P = 1$  and 5  $M_J$

• *a* = 40 UA

Fouchet et al. (2010)



## Raw synthetic images



- 3D SPH particle distribution ⇒ 3D density structure on MCFOST grid
- Interpolation as a function of grain size:  $dn(a) \propto a^{-3.5} da$
- 3D radiative transfer: Monte Carlo + ray tracing
- → Thermal emission maps

# Raw synthetic images



## ALMA simulated images



- Instrument simulator for ALMA: synthetic visibilities + thermal noise + phase noise
- Various integration times,  $\lambda$ , angular resolutions, distances...
- Reference disk
  - $i = 18.2^{\circ}, d = 140 \text{ pc}, \delta = -23^{\circ}$
  - median sky quality (pwv = 1.08 mm), no phase noise



 $t = 10 \min t = 30 \min t = 1 \hbar t = 2 \hbar t = 4 \hbar t = 8 \hbar$ 

<sup>-</sup>lux (mJy/beam)

## ALMA simulated images $M_{P} = I M_{J} \quad \lambda = 850 \ \mu m$



Flux (mJy/beam)

**Optimal parameters**  $t = 1 h \theta = 0.10$ " 5.4 1 λ = 350 μm 2.9 0 0.37 -2.1 0.48 1 λ = 850 μm Flux (mJy/beam) 0.31 0 0.15 -1 -0.015 0.15  $\lambda = 1.3 \text{ mm}$ 0.091 0 0.034 -1 -0.022 0 0 -1 -1 1 1  $M_{\rm P} = I M_{\rm J}$  $M_{\rm P} = 5 M_{\rm J}$ 





# Effect of phase noise t = 1 h $\theta = 0.10$ "





## Varying distance and declination $M_P = I M_J \quad \lambda = 850 \ \mu m \qquad t = I h$



Reference disk @ 140 pc  $\delta = -23^{\circ}$  $\theta = 0.10^{\circ}$ 

Ophiuchus $\delta = -24^{\circ}$ $\theta = 0.12''$	Taurus $\delta = +25^{\circ}$ $\theta = 0.10^{\circ}$	Lupus (I) $\delta = -34^{\circ}$ $\theta = 0.09$ "	Chamaeleon (I) $\delta = -77^{\circ}$ $\theta = 0.09$ "	Serpens $\delta = +01^{\circ}$ $\theta = 0.05^{\circ}$
0				
-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-1 0 1	-0.5 0 0.5 260 pc
		Distance		

### Pushing ALMA further t = 8 h $\theta = 0.05$ "



### Pushing ALMA further t = 8 h $\theta = 0.05$ "



Median sky quality

pwv = 1.08 mm



 $M_{\rm P} = I M_{\rm J} \qquad M_{\rm P} = 5 M_{\rm J}$ 

Best 10% of sky quality pwv = 0.3 mm

## Conclusion

- Pipeline for a systematic study of disks as observed by ALMA
   2-phase 3D SPH → radiative transfer → ALMA simulator
- Self-consistent dust dynamics essential for realistic maps
- Gap detection
  - single I-hour exposure at well chosen  $\lambda$  sufficient
- Characterization
  - multi- $\lambda$ , longer *t*, smaller  $\theta$ ...
  - distinction from transition disk requires short  $\lambda$
- Detectability is robust wrt disk inclination or declination
   ALMA should routinely observe planet signatures in nearby star-forming regions

#### More information: Gonzalez et al. 2012, A&A, in press (arXiv:1208.5436)

