MIAPP coffee, 19.10.2021

Borlina et al., Sci. Adv. 2021; 7 : eabj6928 15 October 2021

SCIENCE ADVANCES | RESEARCH ARTICLE

SPACE SCIENCES

Paleomagnetic evidence for a disk substructure in the early solar system

Cauê S. Borlina¹*, Benjamin P. Weiss¹, James F. J. Bryson², Xue-Ning Bai³, Eduardo A. Lima¹, Nilanjan Chatterjee¹, Elias N. Mansbach¹

¹Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA. ²Department of Earth Sciences, Oxford University, Oxford, UK. ³Institute for Advanced Study and Department of Astronomy, Tsinghua University, Beijing, China.

Xuening Bai

Institute for Advanced Study & Department of Astronomy

Tsinghua University

Paleomagnetism: overview

- Inference of ancient magnetic fields recorded by planetary materials.
- In the form of natural remnant magnetization (NRM), several sub-categories.

Basic principle: record ambient field when they cool, crystalize, or accrete, with a certain proportionality:

$$M_{\rm NRM} = \chi B_{\rm paleo}$$

Proportionality coefficient obtained/calibrated by subsequent experiments.

See Weiss, Bai & Fu (2021, Sci. Adv.) for a review on its application to solar nebula.

Meteorite paleomagnetism: pros & cons

Advantages:

- Directly meausrement of total field strength
- Have age information
- Instantaneous to time-averaged field strength (depending on how magnetization is acquired)

Disadvantages:

- Field orientation is unknown
- Location is unknown (expected to be near midplane, distance based on educated guesses)
- Small number statistics (can be improved over time)

Theoretical model of B field strength

Angular momentum flux carried by accretion flow: $\dot{M}_{
m acc} j(R)$

Radial transport of A.M.:
$$2\pi R^2 \int_{z_{bot}}^{z_{top}} dz \left(\overline{\rho \delta v_R \delta v_{\phi}} - \frac{\overline{B_R B_{\phi}}}{4\pi} \right)$$

Vertical transport of A.M.: $2\pi R^2 \left(\overline{\rho v_z v_{\phi}} - \frac{\overline{B_z B_{\phi}}}{4\pi} \right) \begin{vmatrix} z_{top} \\ z_{bot} \end{vmatrix}$

Balancing with radial transport: $\dot{M}_{acc} j = 2\pi R^2 L_z (\overline{B_R B_{\phi}}/4\pi)$

Balancing with vertical transport: $\dot{M}_{acc}j = 8\pi R^3 |\overline{B_z B_{\phi}}/4\pi|_{base}$

Wardle 2007, Bai & Goodman 2009

Theoretical model of B field strength

Assuming steady state accretion, and depending on the driving mechanism:

$$\begin{split} B_{\rm mid} &\approx 0.72 {\rm G} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{\rm acc}}{10^{-8} M_{\odot} {\rm y}^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{fH}{L_z}\right)^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{{\rm AU}}\right)^{-11/8} \end{split}$$
(For radial transport, with $f = (B_{\phi}/B_R)_{\rm mid}$)

$$B_{\rm mid} \approx (0.065m) {\rm G} \left(\frac{M}{M_{\odot}}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{\dot{M}_{\rm acc}}{10^{-8}M_{\odot}{\rm y}^{-1}}\right)^{1/2} f'^{1/2} \left(\frac{R}{{\rm AU}}\right)^{-5/4}$$

(For vertical transport, with $m=B_{\phi,{
m base}}/B_{\phi,{
m mid}}$, $f'=(B_{\phi}/B_z)_{
m base}$)

[Weiss, Bai & Fu (2021), generalized version]

Wind-transport is more efficient by (R/H), but geometric factors also matter!

Calibrate the geometric factors

Current Di understanding: Ad

Disk is threaded by net B_z.

Accretion is primarily wind-driven. Polarity of B_7 matters due to the Hall effect.



Constrains from paleomagnetism (as of 2021.1)



Distance is assumed (2-3 AU for <u>LL chondrite</u>, 3-7AU for <u>carbonacious chondrites</u>).

Sparse data points, many upper/lower limits, but results so far consistent with standard accretion rate in an aligned field geometry.

New samples: CO chondrules

Two CO chondrites, both among the least altered meteorites (i.e., pristine).



Extracted 6 chondrules from the two samples.

Age: 2.2+/-0.8 Ma after CAI formation.

Dusty olivine chondrules contain fine-grained iron as magnetic carriers.

Measurements

Borlina et al. 2021

Conglomerate and unidirection tests:





Magnetization is pre-accretional.

Inferred nebula field strength (calibrated and averaging over samples): 1.01+/-0.48 G

Results from CO chondrules



In brief, we have: 0.5G at ~2 AU 1G at ~5 AU Given that we expect: $\dot{M}_{\rm acc} \sim B^2 R^{5/2}$

A mismatch in accretion rate by a factor of ~40!

Borlina et al. 2021

In the context of the isotopic dichotomy



Time-variable accretion?

Borlina et al. 2021





CO chondrules: ~2.2+/-0.4 Ma after CAI LL chondrules: ~2.0+/-0.4 Ma after CAI.

Ages based on Kita & Ushikubo (2011)

Age overlap is against the time-variability scenario.

More recently, there are indications that the NC and CC chondrule ages are more non-comtemporous.

Hertwig+2019, Siron+2021

Magnetic substructure in a normal disk?

We have seen that evolution of poloidal B flux is highly inhomogeneous.



However, B field is dominated by B_{ϕ} : strength is smooth other than sign change.



We don't know for sure but seems unlikely.

Spatially-varying accretion?

MHD winds in PPDs are magneto-thermal in nature, with significant mass loss (Bai+2016, Bai 2017, Bethune+2017, Wang+2019, Lesur 2021, but see Gressel+2020).

Significant mass loss would imply increasing accretion rate with radius in steady state.



If the mismatch in accretion rates is indeed so large, something should happen in between.

Spatially-varying accretion?

MHD winds in PPDs are magneto-thermal in nature, with significant mass loss (Bai+2016, Bai 2017, Bethune+2017, Wang+2019, Lesur 2021, but see Gressel+2020).

Significant mass loss would imply increasing accretion rate with radius in steady state.



If the mismatch in accretion rates is indeed so large, something should happen in between. The presence of Jupiter?

Summary

- Meteorite paleomagnetism provides alternative means to constrain the strength and evolution of magnetic field in disks.
- Existing paleomagnetic record consistent with wind-driven accretion with aligned B_z for typical nebular accretion rate of 10⁻⁸ Msun/yr.
- New measurements from the CO chondrules yield nebular field strength even stronger than earlier record from LL chondrules.
- Despite caveats, the results imply a mismatch in nebular accretion rates between the NC and CC reservoir.
- This mismatch might be related to excessive disk mass loss, due to MHD disk winds and/or presence of Jupiter.